



Zeitenwende in Geophysics

Earthquake Forecast Development Project – EFDP
Erdbebenvorhersage Software Entwicklung

EXPERTS AROUND THE WORLD TELL US “EARTHQUAKES ARE NOT PREDICTABLE”
BUT
GERMAN SCIENTIST SAYS... “THEY ALL ARE WRONG!”
Pressrelease by thomas orlowski

Freiburg and Cologne/Germany, January 12, 2010

More than 40 years after “serious” earthquake researches started, scientists all over the world are still looking to find useful tools to forecast earthquakes. None of them found anything. Of course today’s sensitive seismometers seem to be an important step. And yes, seismometers are useful instruments! But not in our earthquake “controlleurs” hand. In their hands, data from seismometers and there are thousands of them installed all over the world, are almost completely useless for prediction. They are used like a stop watch at the 100m dash world championship which stops at 9.59 seconds. Nothing more! Their data simply show our “experts” that there is, or was an earthquake with a certain magnitude at a certain place.

Could that have been in the mind of the inventor?

“No!”, says Berthold Roth, a German physicist, disagreeing strongly. “Seismic data should be handled and observed more intelligently because they tell stories of upcoming earthquakes!” After more than 10 years of observing and studying them the scientist has found reliable signs which appear before large earthquakes. “The only problem in the past was to act in a one-person show.”

Endless hours of being alert to all the signs from seismic data and other parameters, along with unacceptable capacity limitations which only allow to concentrate on a very small number of important predictions, have naturally evolved into an obviously logical idea :

The invention of automated earthquake prediction software!

“Earthquakes never occur randomly. They come up like summer, winter, fall and spring. They are emotions of our globe. The earth has its own life! Like rain and snow, growing flowers and falling autumn leaves.”, the German scientist says.

There has been no single day in recent earth history without earthquakes. This a fact. So there is no reason to call earthquakes “random events”. Where is the evidence for randomness?.

Sure, most of them are not large enough to damage anything, but many are there which could cause damage. Yet most of them don’t, because they occur in uninhabitated regions, and no media will report such news. What takes all people their breath away are those large earthquakes which come to them and bring death and destruction!



Nowadays earthquake research institutions all over the world with their thousands of employees are all on the same path and wasting billions of money. They don't accept, that earthquakes are simply "facts". They are looking to explore the reasons "why" there was an earthquake and how their structure is and so on and on... looking for answers.

But even if they find them.. is this a guarantee for accurate forecasting? maybe, but till then nothing will change.

"When we accept earthquakes as natural facts, we should do everything to live with that, and we should be totally alert to multiple natural signs and of course seismic data, which all together will tell us when large quakes will arrive." This is not a contradiction to earthquake research, but current research seems not to be very helpful for people. All "experts" tell the world "Earthquakes are not predictable.". Today these researchers are only able to forecast big events in the sense of "the next disaster must come". But when? They don't know.

The scientist, who predicted nearly all large quakes of the last years, which can be easily verified with authentic documents, is now developing earthquake prediction software. The objective of the software is to automate forecasts, like weather forecasts, with precise data of time, magnitude and region of upcoming earthquakes. Integrating zillions of data and updating them continuously will guarantee a high percentage forecast success.

"Forecasting of earthquakes has to become automated, because a single person cannot sit night and day in front of a screen and forecast manually like I did in the past." An additional problem is – as we all know from other fields of science -

when there is a person who has visions controversial to established institutions, that person will strongly be discriminated by them and run against a wall of "organisational interests". As a recent example the german researcher mentions the earthquake in the Italian Abruzzo mountains last year on 6th of April 2009, when an Italian scientist, Giampaolo Giuliani from - Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso- predicted an upcoming earthquake. Unfortunately, it came a week later than he predicted, and because of that fact "experts" called him a "moron". A reputable German professor from an important research center denounced Giulianis prediction as "Only luck!", without respecting the high quality of such a prediction, and Italian government authorities even tried to publicly reprimand Guliani for his warnings.

"I think there is a method in the behaviour of all these organisations with their lobbies to squash every outsider. They are afraid that somebody could do their job better than they are "expected to do" and find a tool to forecast earthquakes, which they themselves have not found and cannot find, because they all are simply not looking at the data !

Exactly this treatment has happened to me. Nobody showed any interest for my project, nobody supported my plans, instead they called me a charlatan.

Nevertheless I will show the world, that reliable earthquake prediction is possible!

Earthquake Forecast Development Project – EFD



Media / Pressearbeit

Thomas Orlowski

Member of / Mitglied - Deutscher Presseverband / DPV No. DE-515410-001

An der Bottmühle 11

50678 Köln

Germany

email: thomasorlowski@efdp.org

phone: +49-221-3100881

mobile: +49-178-1980048